The Moran effect and environmental vetoes: phenological synchrony and drought drive seed production in a Mediterranean oak
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Abstract

Masting is the highly variable production of synchronized seed crops, and is a common reproductive strategy in plants. Weather has been long recognized as centrally involved in driving seed production in masting plants. However, the theory behind mechanisms connecting weather and seeding variation has only recently been developed, and still lacks empirical evaluation. We used 12-years long seed production data for 255 holm oaks (Quercus ilex), as well as airborne pollen and meteorological data, and tested whether masting is driven by environmental constraints: phenological synchrony and associated pollination efficiency, and drought-related acorn abscission. We found that warm springs resulted in short pollen seasons, and length of the pollen seasons was negatively related to acorn production, supporting the phenological synchrony hypothesis. Furthermore, the relationship between phenological synchrony and acorn production was modulated by spring drought, and effects of environmental vetoes on seed production were dependent on the last year environmental constraint, implying passive resource storage. Both vetoes affected among-trees synchrony in seed production. Finally, precipitation preceding acorn maturation was positively related to seed production, mitigating apparent resource depletion following high crop production in the previous year. These results provide new insights into mechanisms beyond widely reported weather and seed production correlations.
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Introduction

Masting, or mast seeding, is a common reproductive strategy in perennial plants, characterized by high inter-annual variability in seed production synchronized over large
areas [1]. It results in severe fluctuations in food availability for seed-feeding animals producing cascade effects through trophic levels [2, 3]. Despite its clear importance, our understanding of the proximate mechanisms driving masting across different taxa remains incomplete [4]. It has been long recognized that resources and weather are centrally involved in driving seed production patterns in masting plants [1, 5, 6, 7, 8], but it is only recently that attention has turned to the mechanisms linking seed production and weather variability [4, 7-13].

Despite masting being a phenomenon that takes place at the population level, it originates at the individual level by combining two processes: inter-annual variability in seed production, and synchronization among individuals. The inter-annual variation in seed production is driven in part by plant resources through preventing individuals from producing sequential large crops [4, 14]. Therefore, weather may affect seed production by affecting plant resource state, e.g. by providing good conditions for photosynthate accumulation [13-16] or by influencing resource remobilization [17, 18]. Among-individual synchronization in reproduction is believed to be driven by environmental variation and associated pollination efficiency [4, 14]. Plants can similarly respond to a weather signature, such as temperature or rainfall, resulting in synchronized flowering [8, 11, 19, 20]. Synchronized flowering might be also the result of plants reaching a resource threshold as predicted by the resource budget model [16, 21]. In such systems, plant populations should show high inter-annual variation in flowering intensity, and seed production should be determined by high flower density and associated high pollination success, i.e. pollen coupling [16, 19, 22-25]. Alternatively, weather might drive population-wide pollination success and seed maturation rates creating the Moran effect [12, 26]. In this case, annual variability in flowering intensity is less important, and flower to fruit transition drives seed production [4, 5, 19, 27, 28]. However,
the theory behind these mechanisms has only recently been developed, and it largely lacks empirical evaluation [4, 14].

Two main hypotheses have been proposed for how the weather conditions may affect effectiveness of pollen transfer among plants (i.e. the pollination Moran effect). Rainfall during flowering may wash pollen out of the atmosphere and limit pollination success [29]. Alternatively, annual differences in the synchrony of flowering within the population, driven by variation in the spring temperature, may determine pollen availability along with flowering synchrony and thus fertilization success, i.e. the phenological synchrony hypothesis [30]. Irrespective of weather effects on pollination success, weather can affect seed crop size by affecting seed maturation rates [5, 28, 31], e.g. water stress may lead to high fruit abscission [27, 28, 32]. Furthermore, environmental veto processes are expected to interact with resource dynamics [4, 14]. For example, if reproduction was vetoed in one year (e.g. pollination failure caused by desynchronized flowering), more resources should be available for reproduction during the following year [4, 30]. Finally, because environmental vetoes are expected to occur over large spatial scales, they can be considered possible mechanisms behind large-scale synchrony of seed production observed in masting plants [33, 34]. However, we currently know little about how these mechanisms interact to create masting dynamics.

The main aim of this study is to explore whether seed production in a Mediterranean oak is a consequence of two interacting constraints: namely, pollination efficiency driven by phenological synchrony and drought. To reach this aim, we use acorn production data from 255 trees spanning 12 years for Quercus ilex (holm oak), as well as corresponding airborne pollen and meteorological data. We explore how seeding dynamics are related to the number of pollen grains in the air (proxy for flowering intensity), pollen seasons length (proxy for phenological synchrony), spring water deficit, and summed rainfall in the six months preceding seed maturation. Oaks are thought to be ‘fruit maturation masting species’, i.e. fruit
density is largely driven by variable ripening of a much more constant flower crop [4, 19, 27]. Thus, we hypothesize that phenological synchrony affects pollination success and thus flower to fruit transition [19, 30], and similarly water deficit limit seed production [28, 35]. Flowering phenology of oaks should be determined by weather, i.e. cold and wet weather create heterogeneous microclimatic conditions and desynchronize plants, leading to long pollen seasons and reproduction failure. In contrast, warm and dry conditions during pollen seasons lead to synchronous pollen release [30]. Summed precipitation preceding seed fall should positively affect seed production through allowing higher accumulation of resources through higher N mineralization or higher photosynthesis [15, 17]. Furthermore, if the last year crop size depletes plant resources and negatively affects current reproduction, we expect the effect of precipitation to mitigate it, through allowing more efficient resource rebuilding. Similarly, if reproduction in the last year was vetoed by pollination failure or drought (as opposed to low resource state), we expect higher reproductive allocation in the next year due to saved resources [4, 13]. Finally, we test whether environmental forcing mechanisms, i.e. phenological synchrony and spring water deficit, are related to among-trees variability in seed production dynamics.

Methods

Study site, species, and seed production data

This study was carried out in the Collserola Massif (41° 260’ N, 2° 060’ E), northeast Iberian Peninsula. The climate is Mediterranean, characterized by mild winters and dry summers. Mean annual temperature is 15.7 ± 1.4 °C and mean annual precipitation is 613.8 ± 34.0 mm. The holm oak (Q. ilex) is the most widespread tree species of the Iberian Peninsula. It flowers in spring and acorns grow and ripen in the same year, being dropped in autumn-winter. Crop sizes show strong inter-annual fluctuations [27, 36].
We monitored acorn production from 1998 to 2009 in 17 sampling sites (255 trees, 15 per plot) in holm-oak dominated forests (mean distance 4.7 ± 2.4 km, Fig. 1S). The other oak present at the site is deciduous *Q. humilis*. Trees were tagged and the number of branches per tree was estimated using a regression model between crown projection and number of branches previously constructed for a sub-sample of trees [27]. We counted acorn production on four branches per tree at the peak of the acorn crop (i.e. September). Then we estimated the total number of acorns produced per tree by multiplying the mean acorn production per branch and the number of branches per tree [see 27 for details].

Pollen and weather data

We used the pollen data on *Quercus* evergreen type from the Catalan Aerobiological Network from two sampling stations close to our study area, and representative of the southeastern and northwestern slopes, respectively: Barcelona (41° 230’ N, 2° 90’ E) and Bellaterra (41° 300 N, 2° 60 E). The pollen grains of evergreen oaks are easily distinguished from deciduous species, thus the presence of *Q. humilis* in our study area [27] does not interfere with our analyses. We matched acorn collection plots with the nearest pollen monitoring station, i.e. near Barcelona (located in Collserola southeastern slope) and near Bellaterra (northwestern slope). This classification resulted in 5 plots being classified as nearest Barcelona and 12 nearest Bellaterra [37]. Pollen grains were collected by Hirst traps which are designed to record the concentration of atmospheric particles as a function of time [38]. For each year, we derived two parameters from the pollen data, pollen season length, a measure of flowering synchrony, and total pollen, a measure of overall pollen abundance (following protocols of [19]). The total pollen represents the sum of all daily pollen counts during the pollen season. We determined the duration of the pollen seasons using the 80% method that assumes the season starts when 10% of the total yearly pollen catch is achieved and ends when 90% is
reached [39]. We used total pollen as an index of flower production, assuming that more flowers produce more pollen grains [37, 40]. The pollen data collected with pollen traps corresponds well with flowering phenology of trees in the field [29, 37].

Data on weather was obtained from two weather stations located within 5 km distance from study sites. Based on the raw data we calculated the spring (April-June) water deficit for each study year (potential evapotranspiration - real evapotranspiration in mm [41]).

Statistical analysis

We calculated masting metrics including individual (CV_i) and population-level (CV_p) coefficients of variation, synchrony within (r_w) and among (r_a) sites, and lag-1 population-level temporal autocorrelation (ACF1) of total pollen, length of pollen season, and seed crop size [42, 43]. We calculated within-site synchrony using Pearson’s correlation of all possible pairs of trees in the stand and then calculating the mean of those correlation coefficients. Among-site synchrony was calculated based on all possible pairs of trees.

First, we tested for the relationships between selected weather variables and seed production. We build a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) that included the log-transformed, site-level average of acorn production per tree as response, study site as random effect, and mean maximum temperature during pollen season, average rainfall per day during pollen season, and summed rainfall preceding acorn maturation (January 1 – June 30, hereafter precip_Jan-Jul) as fixed effects. The rainfall window follows the previous studies that found it best explains the increase in oaks leaves area [15]. We also included acorn crop in the previous year to control for the potential effect of resource depletion [42, 44], and its interaction term with precip_Jan-Jul to test for the potential faster resource rebuild in wet years. Moreover, we included all possible combinations of two-way interaction terms between the previous year and current year’s mean maximum temperature during pollen season (potential
driver of phenological synchrony), and the rainfall during previous and current pollen season (i.e. driver of spring water deficit), i.e. four interaction terms in total. This was done to test for the potential interacting effects of the previous and current year vetoes on seed production [4, 30]. We arrived at the final model structure by removing non-significant interaction terms. We also built a very simple competing model that included difference in temperature between two springs (mean maximum temperature in May) preceding seed fall as fixed effect (i.e. the ΔT model, cf. [11]). We used temperature in May following past studies conducted on Mediterranean oaks [9, 45]. These two models (reduced weather model and ΔT) were compared with each other using the AICc [46]. The veto model outperformed May ΔT model according to the AICc (ΔAICc = 118.2, d.f. equals 4 in the ΔT, while 12 in veto model). Next, we tested for the mechanistic underpinnings between weather variables and seed production by asking the following questions: (1) what is the relationship between weather during pollen season and the duration of the pollen season (i.e., phenological synchrony)? (2) What is the relationship between weather and total pollen? (3) How are the pollen parameters, spring water deficit, and conditions during photosynthate accumulation related to seed production? To test questions one (1) and two (2) we used linear regression with the log-transformed length of pollen season (1) or log-transformed total pollen (2) as response variable, and temperature during pollen season, average rain per day during pollen season, and the interaction term as independent variables. In each of these models we included site of pollen collection (Barcelona and Bellaterra) to account for the nested data structure. We addressed the third (3) question by building a GLMM using a Gaussian family and the identity link. We used log-transformed, average site-level crop size per tree as response variable and site as random effect. Fixed effect included length of the pollen season, total pollen, water deficit index, summed rainfall preceding acorn maturation (January 1 – June 30), and acorn crop of the previous year. We also included the following interactions:
previous year crop size \times \text{precip\_Jan-Jul},\text{ pollen season length \times total pollen, and all possible two-way interactions between previous year and current pollen season length, and previous and current year spring water deficit, to test for the interacting effects of current- and previous-year vetoes, i.e. six interaction terms in total. We arrived at the final model structure by removing non-significant interaction terms.}

We tested whether flowering behavior and water deficit synchronizes among and within-site seed production. First, we calculated two types of within-year coefficients of variation. Among-site CV was calculated based on site-level means, thus represented among-sites variability in seed production. Within-site CV was calculated based on tree-level seed production data for each site and year separately. Thus, it represents the within year, within-site variability in seed production. First, we tested whether phenological synchrony and water deficit are related to among-site variability in seed production. Here, we used a regression with the length of pollen season, spring water deficit, and their interaction as independent variables, and among-site CV as response. In the next analysis, we tested whether phenological synchrony and water deficit synchronize trees within study site. Here, we used a Gaussian family, identity link GLMM with site as random effect, and within-site CV as response. Fixed effect included length of the pollen season, total pollen, water deficit, and the interaction term between length of the pollen season and water deficit.

We run all analyses in R, and implemented GLMMs via lme4 package [47]. Before running mixed models, we standardized and centered variables to facilitate the interpretation of the results: this allows direct comparisons of effect sizes of different predictors [48]. We checked for collinearity between variables using variance inflation factor from “AED” package [49]. We calculated the $R^2$ for linear models, and marginal (i.e. the proportion of variance explained by fixed effects) and conditional (i.e. the proportion of variance explained by fixed and random effects) $R^2$ for GLMMs [50, 51]. We also tested for potential spatial
autocorrelation in mean acorn production among plots with Mantel tests, and detected none ($r^2 = 0.13; p = 0.16$).

**Results**

Seed production dynamics of holm oaks in the study site were typical of masting trees, i.e. high inter-annual variation in seed production, both at the population- and the individual-level, and high synchronization (Fig. 1, Table 1). The $CV_p$ of pollen production was one thirds as large as $CV_p$ of seed production (Table 1) indicating that flower production is relatively constant across years, and it is the flower to fruit transition that generates variation among years in fruit crops (Table 2).

The final model for seed production vs. weather included six predictors (mean max temp during pollen season in year T and year T-1, the mean daily rain during pollen season in year T and T-1, the summed rainfall Jan-June, and last year crop size) and three interaction terms (between temperature during pollen seasons in year T and T-1, between crop size in year T-1 and rainfall in Jan-June in year T, and between temperature during pollen seasons in year T and daily rain during pollen season in year T-1; Table S1 (a) in Online Appendix). The negative effect of last year crop size on current year seed production was modified by summed rainfall preceding acorn maturation (interaction term: $\beta = 0.55 \pm 0.17, p = 0.001$), indicating that the negative effect of the last year crop was canceled if the current year’s seasons were wet enough (Fig 2a). The model also included the effect of temperature during pollen season, although it was modified by the last year temperature during pollen season (interaction term: $\beta = 0.57 \pm 0.13, p < 0.001$), i.e. the effect of current year spring temperature was positive unless the last year spring was cold, when the slope of the relationship scaled down to 0 (Fig. 2b). Similarly, the effect of the current year temperature during pollen season was modified by the last year average rain during pollen season (interaction term: $\beta = 0.77 \pm$...
0.14, \( p < 0.001 \)), i.e. the effect of current year spring temperature was positive unless the last year spring was dry, when the slope scaled down to 0. The interaction term between current and previous year average rainfall during pollen season was not significant and was removed from the final model \((p = 0.27)\). The variance explained by fixed effects of the model equaled 0.54, while the variance explained by both fixed and random effects was 0.61.

In agreement with the phenological synchrony hypothesis, the duration of pollen season was negatively related to the average maximum temperature during pollen season, modified by average rainfall during that time (interaction term: \( \beta = 0.09 \pm 0.04, p = 0.03 \)), indicating that the positive relationship between temperature and pollen season length leveled-off once the seasons were wet \((R^2 = 0.29, \text{Fig. 2c})\). None of the explored weather variables affected total pollen (temperature and rain during pollen season, spring water deficit, all \( p > 0.10 \)).

The final model for seed production vs. environmental vetoes included seven predictors (length of pollen season in year T and T-1, pollen abundance, last year crop size, spring water deficit in year T and T-1, and rainfall Jan-June) and three interaction terms (between length of the pollen season in year T and spring water deficit in year T, between length of pollen season in year T and in year T-1, and between spring water deficit in year T and in year T-1; Table S1 (b) in Appendix). In line with the phenological synchrony hypothesis, acorn production was negatively related to pollen season duration, although the effect was strongly dependent on spring water deficit (interaction term: \( \beta = -0.97 \pm 0.15, p < 0.001, \text{Fig 2d} \)), i.e. the crop was lowest when high spring water deficit and long pollen seasons were concurrent. In contrast, the effect of total pollen was not significant \((p = 0.10)\).

The effect of current year pollen season length was modulated by the last year season length (interaction term: \( \beta = 0.58 \pm 0.18, p < 0.001 \)), i.e. the effect of the current year synchrony was only apparent if the last year pollination was allowed (i.e. pollen season was short, Fig. 2e).
Similarly, the effect of current year spring water deficit was modulated by the last water deficit (interaction term: $\beta = 0.54 \pm 0.24$, $p = 0.03$, graph not shown), i.e. the effect of current year deficit was only negative if the last year water deficit was small (i.e. reproduction allowed). Furthermore, summed rain from January to July was positively related to acorn production ($\beta = 0.79 \pm 0.20$, $p < 0.001$). In this model, the effect of the crop size of the previous year on crop size was not significant ($p = 0.12$, $R^2(m) = 0.64$, $R^2(c) = 0.68$). Other interaction terms were insignificant ($p > 0.30$).

Concerning spatial variation in seed production, among-site CV of seed production was not related to the length of the pollen seasons ($p = 0.27$), but increased with spring water deficit ($\beta = 0.26 \pm 0.10$, $p = 0.03$, $R^2 = 0.45$, Fig. 3a). Within-site CV of seed production was positively related to both spring water deficit ($\beta = 0.54 \pm 0.05$, $p < 0.001$, Fig. 3b) and length of pollen seasons ($\beta = 0.16 \pm 0.06$, $p = 0.005$, $R^2(m) = 0.35$, $R^2(c) = 0.44$, Fig. 3c). In both among- and within-site CV models, the interaction terms were insignificant.

Discussion

A summary of our findings (Table 2) shows that the Moran effect, in the form of environmental vetoes, i.e. phenological synchrony and associated pollination efficiency together with drought-related fruit abortion, drive mast seeding in Mediterranean oaks. Acorn production was also positively related to summed rainfall preceding acorn maturation, to the extent that it could mitigate the apparent resource depletion following high seed production of the previous year. The likely mechanism is increased N mineralization in wet years [17], and rapid current-year increase in tree photosynthetic capacity (leaf area) driven by favorable weather conditions [15]. Furthermore, crop size was negatively correlated with the length of pollen seasons, our proxy of phenological synchrony [19], suggesting that pollination efficiency is enhanced in warm years [30]. Moreover, the effect of phenological synchrony
was attenuated by the last year veto, suggesting that environmental constraints interact with plant resource state in driving seeding dynamics [4, 13].

Weather affects seed production in our system through an interplay of two veto processes, i.e. phenological synchrony and spring drought, with the latter having a stronger effect. Across different oak species, seed production correlates with either rain or temperature in spring [5, 9, 52-55]. Based on the patterns we observed, we hypothesize that both phenological synchrony and acorn abortion owing to water-shortage occur in oaks, but depending on the local conditions one of them has a stronger effect on seeding dynamics. In water-limited areas, as in our system, drought-driven acorn abscission has a stronger effect on acorn production than phenological synchrony. Therefore, rainfall overrides the temperature-driven phenological synchrony in correlative studies [9, 45, 52]. In contrast, in mesic forests, pollination efficiency is more important, and thus spring temperature (through synchronization of pollen release) has stronger effect on seeding dynamics than the rainfall [19]. We expect similar gradients across systems that are similarly water-limited but differ in plant densities. For example, the valley oak (Q. lobata) in California grows in a Mediterranean, savannah-like landscape, making pollen transfer among individuals constrained [56], and thus highly dependent on the phenological synchrony [10, 30]. In contrast, trees at our study site grow in crowded forests (e.g. 1357 ± 219 stems ha⁻¹ in [37]. This makes outcross pollen more accessible [57], but induces more severe stress in case of water limitation due to high competition [27, 35]. Generally, mechanistic understanding of the influence of weather variables on seeding dynamics will improve our understanding of the key drivers, especially in enigmatic genera like Quercus where consistent links to simple weather signals have not been found.

We also found that the Moran effect in the form of environmental veto (i.e. drought or synchrony-related pollination failure) decreases variability in seed production among trees
both within- and among-sites (Fig. 3). Recently, environmental veto was incorporated into resource budget models, showing that it might be a driver of observed variability and synchrony of seed production [7, 10, 58]. Our results provide further empirical support for these models, showing that environmental veto is a likely driver of the large-scale synchrony of seed production observed in masting plants [33, 34, 59, 60]. To the extent to which it is true, the spatial synchrony of seeding dynamics should match the spatial synchrony of the environmental noise alone could not drive large-scale spatial synchronization of trees reproduction [62-64]. However, more recent theoretical models showed that if correlated environmental noise is replaced with reproduction failure caused by environmental veto, then large-scale synchronization may apply [58], a result supported by our study.

A previous work relating airborne pollen dynamics to seed production in Q. ilex found that the onset of the flowering season had strong effect on acorn production, while total pollen did not [37]. Advancement of theoretical understanding of masting dynamics in last years sheds new light on these findings. Lack of the direct relationship between total pollen and acorn production is expected in species in which the flower to fruit transition drives seeding dynamics, because flower density per se should have a smaller effect [4, 19]. Therefore, the importance of pollination efficiency is not ruled out, but it is rather driven by different processes, e.g. phenological synchrony [30]. Furthermore, in systems in which the onset of flowering varies strongly (e.g. by 37 days in our study), pollen seasons that start later in the year are likely to be short, because air temperature tends to be higher as summer approaches (see Fig. 2S in the Online Appendix). With a correlative analysis, it is not possible to distinguish causation, but models including phenological synchrony perform statistically better than those including flowering onset in our data (see Table 2S in Online Appendix). Experimental tests of the pollen limitation across individuals differing in flowering synchrony
are crucial to resolve this issue.

**Conclusions**

We found support for a number of theoretical processes proposed to drive the reported correlations between weather and seed production (Table 2). The interactive effects of spring-vetoes on acorn production outperformed spring weather as a cue models (ΔT model, cf. [11]), supporting the notion that weather affects seed production through direct mechanisms rather than through cues [19, 65], at least in oaks [9, 19]. This makes masting dynamics susceptible to global climate changes. Recent models for American oaks showed that inter-annual variability in seed production will likely decrease as a consequence of anticipated warmer springs, associated with more frequent synchronous flowering, and more regular production of smaller seed crops [30]. In the Mediterranean basin, temperatures are predicted to rise while rainfall to decrease, which will increase pollination efficiency, but also increase the occurrence of drought. Therefore, reproduction will likely be vetoed more often, producing a reverse pattern, i.e. less regular production of higher crops. Our results stress the importance of understanding particular mechanisms driving seed production among systems, as different predictions will apply depending on whether the species is a flowering masting one [11, 19], or which veto is most relevant.
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Table 1. Masting metrics for holm oak (*Q. ilex*) at our study sites. Standard deviations are given in brackets. All variables are unitless except mean acorn production (Mean), which is in acorns tree\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>CVp</th>
<th>CVi</th>
<th>Within-site (r)</th>
<th>Among-site (r)</th>
<th>ACF1</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seed production</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>306.13*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.35)</td>
<td>(0.36)</td>
<td>(0.15)</td>
<td>(0.35)</td>
<td>(0.11)</td>
<td>(217.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total pollen</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.61#</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>3086.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1257.98)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of pollen season</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.84#</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>43.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(12.13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*mean of site-level means

#calculated as Pearson correlation between Barcelona and Bellaterra aerobiological stations
Table 2. Summary of predicted relationships, variables tested, apparent mechanisms, and the study results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicted pattern</th>
<th>Response variable</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Prediction supported?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inter-annual flowering variability lower than seed variability&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Total pollen</td>
<td>Flower production is relatively constant across years, and it is the flower to fruit transition that generates variation among years in fruit crops</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed production not related to flowering intensity&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Site-level average acorn production</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowering synchrony related to air temperature and rainfall during flowering</td>
<td>Pollen season duration</td>
<td>Homogeneous conditions during warm and dry pollen seasons enhance flowering synchrony among trees</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed production related to flowering synchrony (veto 1)</td>
<td>Site-level average acorn production</td>
<td>Higher flowering synchrony among trees enhances pollination efficiency</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed production related to spring water deficit (veto 2)</td>
<td>Site-level average acorn production</td>
<td>High water stress induces acorn abscission</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated rainfall from January until June enhances seed production</td>
<td>Site-level average acorn production</td>
<td>High summed precipitation increase N mineralization and enhances trees photosynthetic capacity allowing higher crop production&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous year veto interacts with current year veto in driving seed production</td>
<td>Site-level average acorn production</td>
<td>Passive resource storage: environmental veto prevents resource spending, increasing the resource pool for the next year reproductive allocation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental veto drives among-site synchrony in seed production</td>
<td>CV of seed production among sites</td>
<td>Low water stress allows seed production decreasing the among-site variation in reproductive output</td>
<td>Yes: Water stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental veto drives within-site synchrony in seed production</td>
<td>CV of seed production among trees, within sites</td>
<td>Low water stress and short pollen seasons allow seed production decreasing the among-tree variation in reproductive output</td>
<td>Yes: Water stress and phenological synchrony</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup>We predicted that oaks will show fruit maturation masting, i.e. seed production will be not related to flower production, but rather will be determined by flower to fruit transition driven by phenological synchrony and drought. Therefore, variability of flower production is expected to be lower than variability of seed production (see also [19]).

<sup>2</sup>N mineralization is enhanced in wet years [17], and high rainfall in from January to July increases tree crown area and associated photosynthetic capacity of trees [13].
Figure 1. (a) Length of the pollen seasons and spring water deficit during the study duration, (b) site-level average acorn production (acorns per tree) of holm oaks.

Figure 2. Interaction plots for the fitted models. Plots show the changes in the coefficient response of one variable in a two-way interaction term conditional on the value of the other included variable, along with their 95% confidence intervals. (a) Relationship between the current and the previous year crop size conditional on the summed rainfall from January till June (b) Relationship between the seed crop size and the current mean maximum year temperature during pollen season conditional on the previous year mean maximum temperature during pollen season. (c) Relationship between the length of the pollen season and the temperature during pollen season conditional on the average daily rain during pollen season. (d) Relationship between the seed crop size and the spring water deficit conditional on the length of the pollen season. (e) Relationship between the seed crop size and the length of the pollen season conditional on the length of the previous year pollen season. See text for the model details. In cases when interaction plots are based on GLMM (a, b, d, e), the predictor and conditional variables were standardized and axes show standard deviations (SD). Response variables (y-axis) are given on the scale of partial residuals.

Fig. 3. The relationship between within-year seed production variability (CV) of holm oaks and the spring water deficit (a, b), and phenological synchrony (c). Trend lines are based on the linear regression (a) and GLMM (b, c), shaded regions represent associated standard errors. Points represent measures of CV among sites (a) and among trees within sites (b, c), all within years. Note that the apparent poor fit of the line in (c) is because plots show the raw data, while the model accounts for the spring water deficit and the random effect of study site.