

---

This is the **accepted version** of the article:

Verryckt, Lore T.; Van Langenhove, Leandro; Ciais, Philippe; [et al.]. «Coping with branch excision when measuring leaf net photosynthetic rates in a lowland tropical forest». *Biotropica*, vol. 52, issue 4 (July 2020), p. 608-615. DOI 10.1111/btp.12774

---

This version is available at <https://ddd.uab.cat/record/232206>

under the terms of the  <sup>IN</sup> COPYRIGHT license

1 LRH: Verryckt *et al.*

2 RRH: gas exchange measurements on excised branches

3 **Coping with branch excision when measuring leaf net photosynthetic rates in a lowland**  
4 **tropical forest**

5 Lore T. Verryckt<sup>1</sup>, Leandro Van Langenhove<sup>1</sup>, Philippe Ciais<sup>2</sup>, Elodie A. Courtois<sup>3</sup>, Sara Vicca<sup>1</sup>, Josep  
6 Peñuelas<sup>4</sup>, Clément Stahl<sup>5</sup>, Sabrina Coste<sup>6</sup>, David S. Ellsworth<sup>7</sup>, Juan M. Posada<sup>8</sup>, Michael Obersteiner<sup>9</sup>,  
7 Jérôme Chave<sup>10</sup>, Ivan A. Janssens<sup>1</sup>

8 <sup>1</sup> Department of Biology, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium; <sup>2</sup> Laboratoire des  
9 Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, Gif-sur-Yvette, 91191, France; <sup>3</sup> Laboratoire  
10 Ecologie, évolution, interactions des systèmes amazoniens (LEEISA), Université de Guyane, CNRS, IFREMER,  
11 97300 Cayenne, French Guiana; <sup>4</sup> CSIC, Global Ecology CREAM-CEAB-CSIC-UAB, Cerdanyola del Valles, 08193  
12 Barcelona, Spain; <sup>5</sup> INRA, UMR Ecofog, AgroParisTech, CNRS, Cirad, Université des Antilles, Université de  
13 Guyane, 97310 Kourou, France; <sup>6</sup> Université de Guyane, UMR Ecofog, AgroParisTech, CNRS, Cirad, INRA,  
14 Université des Antilles, 97310 Kourou, France; <sup>7</sup> Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney  
15 University, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith, NSW 2751, Australia; <sup>8</sup> Programa de Biología, Universidad del Rosario, Cr.  
16 24 No. 63C-69, 111221 Bogotá, D.C., Colombia; <sup>9</sup> International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA),  
17 Laxenburg, Austria; <sup>10</sup> UMR 5174 Laboratoire Evolution et Diversité Biologique, Université Paul Sabatier, CNRS,  
18 Toulouse, France

19 Author for correspondence:

20 *L. T. Verryckt*

21 *Email: [lore.verryckt@uantwerpen.be](mailto:lore.verryckt@uantwerpen.be)*

22 Received: \_\_\_\_\_; Revised: \_\_\_\_\_ Accepted: \_\_\_\_\_

23 **ABSTRACT**

24 Measuring leaf gas exchange from canopy leaves is fundamental for our understanding of  
25 photosynthesis and for a realistic representation of carbon uptake in vegetation models. Since  
26 canopy leaves are often difficult to reach, especially in tropical forests with emergent trees up to  
27 60 meters at remote places, canopy access techniques such as canopy cranes or towers have  
28 facilitated photosynthetic measurements. These structures are expensive and therefore not very  
29 common. As an alternative, branches are often cut to enable leaf gas exchange measurements.  
30 The effect of branch excision on leaf gas exchange rates should be minimised and quantified to  
31 evaluate possible bias. We compared light-saturated leaf net photosynthetic rates measured on  
32 excised and intact branches. We selected branches positioned at three canopy positions,  
33 estimated relative to the top of the canopy: upper sunlit foliage, middle canopy foliage, and  
34 lower canopy foliage. We studied the variation of the effects of branch excision and transport  
35 amongst branches at these different heights in the canopy. After excision and transport, light-  
36 saturated leaf net photosynthetic rates were close to zero for most leaves due to stomatal closure.  
37 However, when the branch had acclimated to its new environmental conditions – which took on  
38 average 20 minutes –light-saturated leaf net photosynthetic rates did not significantly differ  
39 between the excised and intact branches. We therefore conclude that branch excision does not  
40 affect the measurement of light-saturated leaf net photosynthesis, provided that the branch is  
41 recut under water and is allowed sufficient time to acclimate to its new environmental  
42 conditions.

43

44 **Keywords:** branch cutting, canopy physiology, French Guiana, gas exchange, photosynthesis,  
45 rainforest, stomatal conductance

46 **1. INTRODUCTION**

47 The measurement of leaf gas exchange is important for our understanding of photosynthesis (e.g.  
48 Dang, Margolis, Coyea, Sy & Collatz, 1997, Schaberg, Shane, Cali, Donnelly & Strimbeck,  
49 1998, Domingues et al., 2010, Cavaleri, Reed, Smith & Wood, 2015, Heberling, Kichey, Decocq  
50 & Fridley, 2016), to understand processes of plant evolution and ecological strategies (Wright et  
51 al., 2004), and to improve the representation of carbon uptake in vegetation models (Rezende et  
52 al., 2016). In areas such as Amazonia, where the number of plants exceeds 14,000 about half  
53 being trees (Cardoso et al., 2017), the challenge of measuring leaf gas exchange across the region  
54 is enormous. Even at accessible field sites, reaching canopy leaves is difficult, because they are  
55 situated between 30 and 60 m above the ground (Perry, 1978).

56 Canopy cranes or towers have facilitated the in-situ measurement of leaf gas exchange in  
57 forest canopies, but these structures are rare (Basset, Horlyck & Wright, 2003, Nakamura et al.,  
58 2017). Therefore, branch excision is often necessary prior to leaf gas exchange measurement.  
59 The excision of branches using a single-rope climbing technique (Perry, 1978), pole pruners, or  
60 shotguns (e.g. Messier, McGill & Lechowicz, 2010) are different methods that can give access to  
61 leaves in the canopy. The effect of excision of branches on leaf gas exchange rates should  
62 therefore be minimised and quantified to evaluate possible bias.

63 Tropical studies measuring gas exchange on excised branches have reported different  
64 effects of excision on the leaf net photosynthetic rate ( $A_n$ ). Some species are not affected  
65 (Santiago & Mulkey, 2003, Rowland et al., 2015), whereas for other species  $A_n$  decreases within  
66 a few minutes to several days (Santiago & Mulkey, 2003). The reported effects can be caused by  
67 alterations in the xylem stream after excision, influencing stomatal response (Sperry, Alder &  
68 Easttack, 1993, Williamson & Milburn, 1995). Therefore, it has been advised that prior to

69 measurement, excised branches should be recut under water, to remove embolised xylem vessels  
70 and to restore hydraulic conductivity for maintaining an adequate supply of water to the leaves.  
71 Santiago and Mulkey (2003) and Rowland et al. (2015) studied upper-canopy, sunlit leaves.  
72 However, several leaf physiological traits of tropical trees, such as  $A_{sat}$  and  $g_s$ , change with  
73 height (Kenzo et al., 2015). The effect of excision might thus also vary with tree height. To the  
74 best of our knowledge, vertical differences in the excision effect have not yet been investigated.  
75 In this study, we therefore assessed whether the effect of excision varied with depth in the  
76 canopy.

77 Measurement can be conducted at a nearby campsite (Rowland et al., 2015), or in a  
78 laboratory further away (Ellsworth & Reich, 1993, Dang et al., 1997), implying different timings  
79 between excision and measurement and different environmental conditions. The excised  
80 branches are thus treated in different ways prior to leaf gas exchange measurements, even though  
81 the studies cited tried to minimise the time between excision and the actual measurement and to  
82 maintain the original light conditions for the excised branch.

83 We assessed the effects of branch excision and transport on leaf net photosynthetic rate at  
84 light saturation ( $A_{sat}$ ) and the variation of these effects amongst branches at different heights in  
85 the canopy. We hypothesised that the effects of excision and transport would be smaller in the  
86 canopy, because these branches are acclimated to darker conditions than the branches at the top  
87 of the canopy. We assumed that they would be less stressed after excision and transport through  
88 a dark forest. We therefore tested for a faster recovery of  $A_{sat}$  for branches lower in the canopy.

## 89 **2. METHODS**

### 90 **2.1 Study site**

91 This study was conducted at the Nouragues Research Station (4°02' N, 52°41' W), an old-  
92 growth, tropical lowland forest site in French Guiana, South America. The climate is tropical  
93 humid, with a dry season lasting from August to November and a short dry period in March.  
94 Mean annual temperature is 26.3°C and mean annual rainfall is about 3000 mm (Bongers,  
95 Charles-Dominique, Forget & Théry, 2001).

## 96 **2.2 Measurement of leaf gas exchange**

97 We selected seven trees (Table 1) belonging to four dominant families at this field site:  
98 Fabaceae, Lecythidaceae, Malvaceae, and Burseraceae.  $A_{\text{sat}}$  (measured at 1300  $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ ) and  
99 stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ;  $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ ) were measured on mature leaves using an infrared gas  
100 analyser (LI-6400XT, LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA). The measurements were carried out at three  
101 canopy positions, estimated relative to the top of the canopy: upper sunlit foliage, middle canopy  
102 foliage, and lower canopy foliage. We measured  $A_{\text{sat}}$  at each position for at least three leaves on  
103 an intact branch attached to the tree, with the assistance of a tree climber. This 2 m-long branch  
104 was subsequently excised, lowered gently from the canopy to a person who then immediately  
105 recut the branch under water to reconstitute the water supply to the leaves, and transported  
106 (within about ten minutes) to a nearby camp site in an opaque plastic bag to avoid further water  
107 loss due to transpiration, where at least two leaves were measured on the excised branch. The  
108 base of the branch always remained under water during transport. The branch was again recut  
109 under water at the camp site to prevent from potential disruption of hydraulic conductivity that  
110 may have arisen during transport.  $A_n$  at light saturation from these excised branches was  
111 continuously logged.  $A_n$  was then defined as  $A_{\text{sat}}$  when  $A_n$  remained stable, i.e. did not vary more  
112 than five percent for at least two minutes. Relative humidity was maintained as near ambient as  
113 possible (70-85%), and the rate of air flow was 500 mL/min during these measurements, which

114 were carried out between 0930 h and 1500 h. The CO<sub>2</sub> level in the chamber was constant at 400  
115 ppm, and the chamber temperature was controlled by maintaining the block temperature at 30 ±  
116 0.2°C.

117 We tested an additional tree species at the camp site, *Eperua falcata*, with sunlit leaves  
118 reachable from the ground without climbing. We tested the effect on  $A_{\text{sat}}$  of transporting the  
119 excised branch in an opaque plastic bag. We continuously measured  $A_n$  at light saturation before  
120 excision and continued measuring it after excision without transporting the branch in a plastic  
121 bag. We also excised a branch, after measuring  $A_{\text{sat}}$ , and transported it similarly to the other  
122 excised branches and again continuously measured  $A_n$  at light saturation to compare both  
123 methods. These measurements were carried out between 1000 h and 1130 h.

### 124 **2.3 Data analyses**

125 The effect of excision on  $A_{\text{sat}}$  was tested with a paired t-test, comparing pre- and post-cutting  
126 measurements for each leaf. We also tested if the slope of the linear regression between  $A_{\text{sat}}$  for  
127 non-excised and excised branches differed from 1. This analysis was carried out for all data, but  
128 also for upper, middle and lower canopy foliage separately. We analysed our  $A_{\text{sat}}$  data using a  
129 two-way ANOVA, with excision (pre- or post-cutting), tree ID and canopy position (upper,  
130 middle, lower foliage) as fixed factors. We specifically explored the two-way interactions  
131 between these factors to assess the (interaction) effects of tree ID and canopy position on  
132 excision. We used  $P < 0.05$  to identify significant differences. Additionally we performed a  
133 bootstrap analysis (10,000 replicates) for this two-way ANOVA for which we allowed  
134 resampling within the same canopy position (upper, middle, lower foliage). We assumed that the  
135 interaction effects would not be significant if the bias-corrected and accelerated (BCa) 95%

136 confidence intervals included zero. All analyses were performed in R 3.3.3 (R Core Team, 2017)  
137 using the ggplot2 package (Wickham, 2009) for visualising the data.

### 138 **3. RESULTS**

#### 139 **3.1 Effect of excision**

140 In general,  $A_{\text{sat}}$  did not differ significantly between the excised and non-excised branches (paired  
141 t-test:  $P = 0.10$ ), and the slope of the linear regression did not differ significantly from 1 ( $P =$   
142  $0.21$ ; Figure 1). When analysing the upper, middle and lower canopy foliage separately, the  
143 intercept and the slope of the linear regression did not differ significantly from 0 ( $P_{\text{upper}} = 0.79$ ,  
144  $P_{\text{middle}} = 0.64$ ,  $P_{\text{lower}} = 0.54$ ) and 1 ( $P_{\text{upper}} = 0.79$ ,  $P_{\text{middle}} = 0.86$ ,  $P_{\text{lower}} = 0.19$ ), respectively. The  
145 two-way ANOVA showed no interaction between excision and tree ( $P = 0.59$ ) or canopy  
146 position ( $P = 0.55$ ). The interaction between tree ID and canopy position was significant ( $P =$   
147  $0.03$ ), with different responses of  $A_{\text{sat}}$  between tree IDs and canopy positions. Excision was not a  
148 significant factor in the two-way ANOVA ( $P = 0.49$ ), indicating no differences in  $A_{\text{sat}}$  before and  
149 after excision. Individual differences between pre- and post-cutting measurements of  $A_{\text{sat}}$  are  
150 shown in Figure S1. The bootstrap analysis showed a tendency of an excision effect for tree 7,  
151 with BCa 95% confidence intervals approaching zero [0.144, 4.317], whereas it did not show any  
152 effect for the other tree species, nor of canopy position, since these BCa 95% confidence  
153 intervals all included zero. Intrinsic water-use efficiency (iWUE) did not differ significantly  
154 between the excised and non-excised branches, with the slope of the linear regression not  
155 differing significantly from 1 ( $P = 0.66$ ; Figure S2).

#### 156 **3.2 Effect of darkness in *E. falcata*: to bag or not to bag?**



157 Branch excision of the *E. falcata* tree in the camp had no effect on the continuously measured  $A_n$   
158 at light saturation (Figure 2a). When the excised branch was first transported in a plastic bag and  
159  $A_n$  at light saturation was measured 10 min after recutting the branch under water,  $A_n$  at light  
160 saturation was more than 50 percent lower than the original value (Figure 2b).  $A_n$  at light  
161 saturation increased again after about 30 minutes of stabilisation, and  $A_{sat}$  was similar to the  
162 measurement on the intact branch in the tree.

### 163 **3.3 Stabilisation time**

164  $A_n$  at light saturation after branch excision and transport to the camp was near zero ( $<1$   
165  $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ ) for 65 percent of the leaves and decreased by 30-80 percent for the remainder of the  
166 leaves.  $A_n$  increased over time after the leaf was placed in the leaf chamber and exposed to 1300  
167  $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$  photosynthetically active radiation (Figure 3a), and  $A_{sat}$  did not differ significantly  
168 from  $A_{sat}$  for the leaf measured on the intact branch in the tree (Figure 1). The time to  
169 stabilisation across all trees averaged 22 min, but ranged between 6 and 44 min (Figure 3b,  
170 Figure S3, Figure S4). The stabilisation time was longer for tree 7 than the other trees. The  
171 stabilisation time was longer for upper canopy foliage ( $27 \pm 9$  min) compared to middle ( $22 \pm 10$   
172 min) and lower ( $19 \pm 7$  min) canopy foliage (Figure 3c).

## 173 **4. DISCUSSION**

174 We quantified the effects of branch excision on  $A_{sat}$  as differences between  $A_{sat}$  measured on an  
175 intact branch in the tree and  $A_{sat}$  measured on the same leaf after branch excision under water.  $A_n$   
176 at light saturation decreased soon after the branch was excised and transported to the camp site  
177 (Figure 2b, Figure S5a). This immediate decrease in  $A_n$  at light saturation was caused by stomatal  
178 closure (Figure 2b, Figure S5b), but the stomata reopened on average 22 min after the branch

179 was re-exposed to light (Figure 3b, c).  $A_n$  at light saturation, however, did not decrease in the  
180 excised *E. falcata* branch kept in the light (Figure 2a), suggesting that the stomatal closure was  
181 due to light limitation rather than dehydration caused by excision. This requires confirmation by  
182 testing more tree species, because this was an unreplicated experiment. We only tested one  
183 species that we kept at constant light while excising the branch.

184         The cut branches were transported from the canopy to the forest floor, where less than  
185 two percent of the incoming light at the top of the canopy is typically available (Yoda, 1974,  
186 Théry, 2001, Montgomery & Chazdon, 2002). We then cut the excised branch under water and  
187 transported it in an opaque bag to the camp site, where we measured leaf gas exchange. Light is a  
188 major determinant of stomatal conductance (Motzer, Munz, Küppers, Schmitt & Anhuf, 2005),  
189 so both the dark conditions at the forest floor and the opaque bag likely induced stomatal closure.  
190 Stomata respond quickly to changing light conditions (Roelfsema & Hedrich, 2005, Devireddy,  
191 Zandalinas, Gómez-Cadenas, Blumwald & Mittler, 2018), allowing the plant to regulate its  
192 water-use efficiency. Stomata of the leaves can thus be expected to close after excision due to  
193 light limitation to maintain their water balance and to reopen when the leaves are re-exposed to  
194 light in the leaf chamber. The recovery of stomatal conductance and  $A_n$  was faster for leaves  
195 lower in the canopy and thus typically exposed to less light (Figure 3c), supporting our  
196 hypothesis that branches lower in the canopy would recover faster after excision. The differences  
197 in recovery time along a height gradient in the canopy might also be explained by the differences  
198 in leaf water potential. Upper-canopy leaves typically have a lower water potential and the  
199 branches at the top of the canopy might thus experience more stress when excised compared to  
200 middle- and lower-canopy branches (Koch, Sillett, Jennings & Davis, 2004, Olson et al., 2018).

201 Although we did not measure the leaf water potentials, our data on stomatal conductance and  
202 intrinsic water use efficiency suggest that this did not play a major role in our study.

203  $A_{\text{sat}}$  did not differ significantly before and after excision at the camp site after acclimating  
204 to the new light conditions in the leaf chamber. The immediate decrease in  $A_n$  at light saturation  
205 was restored after, on average, 22 min, which is in contrast to other studies where excision had  
206 no effect or a delayed decrease in  $A_{\text{sat}}$  was shown (Lange, Führer & Gebel, 1986, Meng & Arp,  
207 1992, Ellsworth & Liu, 1994, Dang et al., 1997, Ambrose, Sillett & Dawson, 2009, Dusenge et  
208 al., 2015, Rowland et al., 2015). Measurements of  $A_{\text{sat}}$  on sugar maple leaves, immediately after  
209 excision and rehydration of the branch, were always within five percent of the rate measured on  
210 intact branches (Ellsworth & Liu, 1994). Excision did not affect  $A_{\text{sat}}$  in *Pinus banksiana* or *Picea*  
211 *mariana*, either immediately or during the next 14 h (Dang et al., 1997). Rowland et al. (2015)  
212 reported that excision did not affect photosynthesis in tropical trees. Our branches were allowed  
213 to stabilise in a canopy opening in full ambient irradiance for at least 30 min after recutting  
214 underwater prior to the measurements. Dusenge et al. (2015) found no difference in  $V_{\text{cmax}}$ , the  
215 maximum carboxylation rate, and only a small negative effect on  $J_{\text{max}}$ , the maximum electron  
216 transport rate, for tropical trees ten minutes before and after excision.  $A_n$  in twigs of *Picea abies*  
217 was constant for a minimum of 15 min after excision (Lange et al., 1986), and net photosynthesis  
218 of *Picea rubens* twigs did not vary significantly within about six minutes after detachment  
219 (Meng & Arp, 1992).  $A_{\text{sat}}$  did not decrease after excision in *Sequoia sempervirens* and *S.*  
220 *giganteum* in California, USA (Ambrose et al., 2009). The leaves for all these studies were  
221 exposed to full sunlight prior to measuring photosynthesis or were kept in the chamber under  
222 saturating light conditions, in contrast to our study.

223 Santiago and Mulkey (2003) reported that some species retained more than 85 percent of  
224 the photosynthetic rate for intact branches (i.e. pre-excision) 60 min after excision, whereas the  
225 rates for other species were less than 40 percent of the rates for intact branches within three  
226 minutes. The effect of excision can vary among species, and was shown to depend on the  
227 production of latex or resin since these exudates might clog the xylem, reduce sap flow, and  
228 thereby reduce foliar gas exchange (Santiago & Mulkey, 2003). Excision did not generally affect  
229  $A_{sat}$  in all seven of our species, although  $A_{sat}$  decreased significantly after excision for sunlit  
230 upper-canopy foliage for tree 2 (*Tetragastris altissima*) and middle-canopy foliage for trees 3  
231 (*Eschweilera coriacea*) and 7 (*E. falcata*) (Figure S1).  $A_{sat}$  may have decreased because the time  
232 needed for the leaves to acclimate to the settings of light and humidity at the camp was not long  
233 enough to reach  $A_{sat}$ . For tree 2 (*Tetragastris altissima*), belonging to the Burseraceae family that  
234 is known to produce latex or resin (Plowden, Uhl & De Assis Oliveira, 2004, Weeks, Daly &  
235 Simpson, 2005), the decrease in  $A_{sat}$  after excision for sunlit, upper-canopy leaves might have  
236 been influenced by the resin produced by this species, although we did not observe any resin  
237 production. No decrease in  $A_{sat}$  after excision was observed for middle and lower canopy leaves  
238 of this tree.  $A_{sat}$  measured in the camp on the excised branch was larger than  $A_{sat}$  measured on the  
239 intact branches for some trees (Figure S1), perhaps because xylem water potentials were higher  
240 in the rehydrated excised branches than the intact branches (Comstock & Ehleringer, 1984).  
241 Since there was no significant decrease in  $A_{sat}$ , we assume that there was no effect of excision on  
242 leaf photosynthesis, although there is large variation among individually sampled leaves.  
243 Additionally, given that we only sampled seven species, it is still recommended to test the effect  
244 of excision before measuring leaf gas exchange for other species than those studied here or in

245 previous studies (e.g. Santiago & Mulkey, 2003, Ambrose et al., 2009, Dusenge et al., 2015,  
246 Rowland et al., 2015).

247 We conclude that branch excision does not affect the measurement of  $A_{\text{sat}}$  when the  
248 branch is recut under water after excision and the measurement is carried out for a sufficiently  
249 long time (at least 20 minutes) after the branch has acclimated to its new environmental  
250 conditions for reopening the stomata. Light is necessary to reopen the stomata when the branch is  
251 transported in dark conditions, either on the forest floor or inside an opaque bag. This process  
252 could be facilitated by transporting the excised branch in a bag containing LED lights, or the  
253 branch could be exposed to sunlight before measurement.

#### 254 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

255 L. T. Verryckt is funded by a PhD fellowship from the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO). I.  
256 A. Janssens acknowledges support from the European Research Council Synergy Grant; ERC-  
257 2013-SyG-610028 IMBALANCE-P. We thank the staff of the Nouragues Natural Reserve and  
258 The Nouragues Ecological Research Station, supported by USR mixte LEEISA (CNRS;  
259 Cayenne, French Guiana). The research station has benefited from financial support by French  
260 Investissement d'Avenir programs managed by the ANR (AnaEE-France ANR-11-INBS-0001;  
261 Labex CEBA ANR-10-LABX-25-01).

262 We are grateful to Stefan van Beveren, Valentine Alt, Jean-Loup Touchard and Anthony  
263 Percevaux for climbing the trees to collect the selected branches. We thank the rest of the team  
264 for helping us with the field measurements.

265 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

266 The corresponding author confirms on behalf of all authors that there have been no involvements  
267 that might raise the question of bias in the work reported or in the conclusions, implications, or  
268 opinions stated.

269 **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

270 The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in Dryad Digital Repository  
271 at <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.v9s4mw6rg>.

272 **LITERATURE CITED**

- 273 AMBROSE, A. R., SILLETT, S. C., & DAWSON, T. E. (2009). Effects of tree height on branch  
274 hydraulics, leaf structure and gas exchange in California redwoods. *Plant, Cell &*  
275 *Environment*, 32(7), 743-757. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.01950.x
- 276 BASSET, Y., HORLYCK, V., & WRIGHT, S. J. (2003). *Studying Forest Canopies from Above: The*  
277 *International Canopy Crane Network*. Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute and  
278 UNEP.
- 279 BONGERS, F., CHARLES-DOMINIQUE, P., FORGET, P.-M., & THERY, M. (2001). *Nouragues:*  
280 *Dynamics and Plant-Animal Interactions in a Neotropical Rainforest*. Kluwer Academic  
281 Publishers.
- 282 CARDOSO, D., SÄRKINEN, T., ALEXANDER, S., AMORIM, A. M., BITTRICH, V., CELIS, M., ...  
283 FORZZA, R. C. (2017). Amazon plant diversity revealed by a taxonomically verified  
284 species list. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(40), 10695-10700.  
285 doi:10.1073/pnas.1706756114
- 286 CAVALERI, M. A., REED, S. C., SMITH, W. K., & WOOD, T. E. (2015). Urgent need for warming  
287 experiments in tropical forests. *Global Change Biology*, 21, 2111-2121.  
288 doi:10.1111/gcb.12860
- 289 COMSTOCK, J., & EHLERINGER, J. (1984). Photosynthetic responses to slowly decreasing  
290 leaf water potentials in *Encelia frutescens*. *Oecologia*, 61, 241-248.  
291 doi:10.1007/BF00396767
- 292 DANG, Q. L., MARGOLIS, H. A., COYEA, M. R., SY, M., & COLLATZ, G. J. (1997). Regulation of  
293 branch-level gas exchange of boreal trees: roles of shoot water potential and vapor  
294 pressure difference. *Tree Physiology*, 17(8-9), 521-535. doi:10.1093/treephys/17.8-9.521

295 DEVIREDDY, A. R., ZANDALINAS, S. I., GÓMEZ-CADENAS, A., BLUMWALD, E., & MITTLER, R.  
296 (2018). Coordinating the overall stomatal response of plants: Rapid leaf-to-leaf  
297 communication during light stress. *Science Signaling*, *11*(518), eaam9514.  
298 doi:10.1126/scisignal.aam9514

299 DOMINGUES, T. F., MEIR, P., FELDPAUSCH, T. R., SAIZ, G., VEENENDAAL, E. M., SCHRODT, F., ...  
300 LLOYD, J. (2010). Co-limitation of photosynthetic capacity by nitrogen and phosphorus in  
301 West Africa woodlands. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, *33*, 959-980. doi:10.1111/j.1365-  
302 3040.2010.02119.x

303 DUSENGE, M. E., WALLING, G., GÅRDESTEN, J., NYRONZIMA, F., ADOLFSSON, L., NSABIMANA, D.,  
304 & UDDLING, J. (2015). Photosynthetic capacity of tropical montane tree species in  
305 relation to leaf nutrients, successional strategy and growth temperature. *Oecologia*, *117*,  
306 1183-1194. doi:10.1007/s00442-015-3260-3

307 ELLSWORTH, D. S., & LIU, X. (1994). Photosynthesis and canopy nutrition of four sugar maple  
308 forests on acid soils in northern Vermont. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, *24*,  
309 2118-2127. doi:10.1139/x94-272

310 ELLSWORTH, D. S., & REICH, P. B. (1993). Canopy structure and vertical patterns of  
311 photosynthesis and related leaf traits in a deciduous forest. *Oecologia*, *96*, 169-178.  
312 doi:10.1007/BF00317729

313 HEBERLING, J. M., KICHEY, T., DECOCQ, G., & FRIDLEY, J. D. (2016). Plant functional shifts in  
314 the invaded range: a test with reciprocal forest invaders of Europe and North America.  
315 *Functional Ecology*, *30*(6), 875-884. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12590



316 KENZO, T., INOUE, Y., YOSHIMURA, M., YAMASHITA, M., TANAKA-ODA, A., &  
317 ICHIE, T. (2015). Height-related changes in leaf photosynthetic traits in diverse Bornean  
318 tropical rain forest trees. *Oecologia*, *177*, 191-202. doi:10.1007/s00442-014-3126-0

319 KOCH, G. W., SILLETT, S. C., JENNINGS, G. M., & DAVIS, S. D. (2004). The limits to tree  
320 height. *Nature*, *428*, 851-854. doi:10.1038/nature02417

321 LANGE, O. L., FÜHRER, G., & GEBEL, J. (1986). Rapid field determination of photosynthetic  
322 capacity of cut spruce twigs (*Picea abies*) at saturating ambient CO<sub>2</sub>. *Trees*, *1*, 70-77.  
323 doi:10.1007/bf00197027

324 MENG, F.-R., & ARP, P. A. (1992). Net photosynthesis and stomatal conductance of red spruce  
325 twigs before and after twig detachment. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, *23*, 716-  
326 721. doi:10.1139/x93-093

327 MESSIER, J., MCGILL, B. J., & LECHOWICZ, M. J. (2010). How do traits vary across ecological  
328 scales? A case for trait-based ecology. *Ecology Letters*, *13*(7), 838-848.  
329 doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01476.x

330 MONTGOMERY, R., & CHAZDON, R. (2002). Light gradient partitioning by tropical tree seedlings  
331 in the absence of canopy gaps. *Oecologia*, *131*(2), 165-174. doi:10.1007/s00442-002-  
332 0872-1

333 MOTZER, T., MUNZ, N., KÜPPERS, M., SCHMITT, D., & ANHUF, D. (2005). Stomatal conductance,  
334 transpiration and sap flow of tropical montane rain forest trees in the southern Ecuadorian  
335 Andes. *Tree Physiology*, *25*, 1283-1293. doi:10.1093/treephys/25.10.1283

336 NAKAMURA, A., KITCHING, R. L., CAO, M., CREEDY, T. J., FAYLE, T. M., FREIBERG, M., ...  
337 ASHTON, L. A. (2017). *Forests and Their Canopies: Achievements and Horizons in*

338 Canopy Science. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 32(6), 438-451.  
339 doi:10.1016/j.tree.2017.02.020

340 OLSON, M. E., SORIANO, D., ROSELL, J. A., ANFODILLO, T., DONOGHUE, M. J.,  
341 EDWARDS, E. J., ... MÉNDEZ-ALONZO, R. (2018). Plant height and hydraulic  
342 vulnerability to drought and cold. *PNAS*, 115(29), 7551-7556.  
343 doi:10.1073/pnas.1721728115

344 PERRY, D. R. 1978. A method of access into the crowns of emergent and canopy trees.  
345 *Biotropica*, 10(2), 155-157. doi:10.2307/2388019

346 PLOWDEN, C., UHL, C., & DE ASSIS OLIVEIRA, F. (2004). Alipumilio (Diptera: Syrphidae)  
347 fly association with Burseraceae tree resins in the eastern Brazilian Amazon. *Journal of*  
348 *Natural History*, 38(15), 1941-1947. doi:10.1080/00222930310001618886

349 R CORE TEAM. 2017. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation  
350 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

351 REZENDE, L. F. C., ARENQUE, B. C., AIDAR, S. T., MOURA, M. S. B., VON RANDOW, C.,  
352 TOURIGNY, E., ... OMETTO, J. P. H. B. (2016). Evolution and challenges of dynamic  
353 global vegetation models for some aspects of plant physiology and elevated atmospheric  
354 CO<sub>2</sub>. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 60(7), 945-955. doi:10.1007/s00484-015-  
355 1087-6

356 ROELFSEMA, M. R., & HEDRICH, R.. 2005. In the light of stomatal opening: new insights into 'the  
357 Watergate'. *New Phytologist*, 167, 665-691. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01460.x

358 ROWLAND, L., LOBO-DO-VALE, R. L., CHRISTOFFERSEN, B. O., MELEM, E. A., KRUIJT, B.,  
359 VASCONCELOS, S. S., ... MEIR, P. (2015). After more than a decade of soil moisture

360 deficit, tropical rainforest trees maintain photosynthetic capacity, despite increased leaf  
361 respiration. *Global Change Biology*, 21(12), 4662-4672. doi:10.1111/gcb.13035

362 SANTIAGO, L. S., & MULKEY, S. S. (2003). A test of gas exchange measurements on excised  
363 canopy branches of ten tropical tree species. *Photosynthetica*, 41(3), 343-347.  
364 doi:10.1023/B:PHOT.0000015457.92479.eb

365 SCHABERG, P. G., SHANE, J. B., CALI, P. F., DONNELLY, J. R., & STRIMBECK, G. R. (1998).  
366 Photosynthetic capacity of red spruce during winter. *Tree Physiology*, 18, 271-276.

367 SPERRY, J. S., ALDER, N. N., & EASTLACK, S. E. (1993). The Effect of Reduced Hydraulic  
368 Conductance on Stomatal Conductance and Xylem Cavitation. *Journal of Experimental*  
369 *Botany*, 44(6), 1075-1082. doi:10.1093/jxb/44.6.1075

370 THE PLANT LIST. 2013. Version 1.1. <http://www.theplantlist.org/> (accessed November 2018).

371 THÉRY, M. (2001) Forest light and its influence on habitat selection. *Plant Ecology*, 153(1-2),  
372 251-261. doi:10.1023/A:1017592631542

373 VERRYCKT, L. T., VAN LANGENHOVE, L., CIAIS, P., COURTOIS, E. A., VICCA, S.,  
374 PEÑUELAS, J., ... JANSSENS, I. A. (2020). Data from: Coping with branch excision  
375 when measuring leaf net photosynthetic rates in a lowland tropical forest. Dryad Digital  
376 Repository. doi:10.5061/dryad.v9s4mw6rg

377 WEEKS, A., DALY, D. C., & SIMPSON, B. B. (2005). The phylogenetic history and  
378 biogeography of the frankincense and myrrh family (Burseraceae) based on nuclear and  
379 chloroplast sequence data. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 35, 85-101.  
380 doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2004.12.021

381 WICKHAM, H. (2009). *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. . Springer-Verlag New  
382 York.

383 WILLIAMSON, V. G., & MILBURN, J. A. (1995). Cavitation events in cut stems kept in water:  
384 implications for cut flower senescence. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 64(4), 219-232.  
385 doi:10.1016/0304-4238(95)00842-X

386 WRIGHT, I. J., REICH, P. B., WESTOBY, M., ACKERLY, D. D., BARUCH, Z., BONGERS, F., ...  
387 VILLAR, R. (2004). The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. *Nature*, 428(6985), 821-  
388 827. doi:10.1038/nature02403

389 YODA, K. (1974). Three-dimensional distribution of light intensity in a tropical rain forest of  
390 West Malaysia. *Japanese Journal of Ecology*, 24(4), 247-254.  
391 doi:10.18960/seitai.24.4\_247

392

393 **TABLES**

394 *Table 1. Overview of the tree species selected for this study. The Plant List (2013) was used to validate the scientific*  
 395 *names and to confirm authorship. The diameter at breast height (DBH) and total height are given for each tree. The*  
 396 *heights of the upper, middle, and lower canopy foliage indicate the heights above the ground surface at which the*  
 397 *branches were sampled.*

<b>Tree ID</b>	<b>Family</b>	<b>Species name</b>	<b>DBH (cm)</b>	<b>Total height (m)</b>	<b>Height upper foliage (m)</b>	<b>Height middle foliage (m)</b>	<b>Height lower foliage (m)</b>
1	Malvaceae	<i>Sterculia cf. multiovula</i> E.L. Taylor	39.5	32	30	25	20
2	Burseraceae	<i>Tetragastris altissima</i> (Aubl.) Swart	47.4	30	27	23	20
3	Lecythidaceae	<i>Eschweilera coriacea</i> (DC.) S.A. Mori	53.2	38	36	32	25
4	Lecythidaceae	<i>Lecythis persistens</i> Sagot	47.4	42	42	34	29.5
5	Lecythidaceae	<i>Eschweilera coriacea</i> (DC.) SA. Mori	54.7	35	33	29	23
6	Fabaceae	<i>Inga</i> sp.	36.6	31	28	22	17.5
7	Fabaceae	<i>Eperua falcata</i> Aubl.	81.8	40	38	33	27

398 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

399 FIGURE 1 Leaf net photosynthetic rate at light saturation ( $A_{sat}$ ;  $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ ) for non-excised  
400 (intact  $A_{sat}$ ) and excised (excised  $A_{sat}$ ) branches ( $n = 21$ ). Each point represents an average of 2-3  
401 leaves for each canopy position and tree, with standard errors on the x- and y-axes. The slope of  
402 the linear regression did not differ significantly from 1 ( $P = 0.63$ ). The black line represents the  
403 1:1 line and the grey shading shows the 95% confidence interval.

404 FIGURE 2 Leaf net photosynthetic rate ( $A_n$ ;  $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ ) at light saturation and stomatal  
405 conductance ( $g_s$ ;  $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ ) in *Eperua falcata* as functions of time of day, during an  
406 unreplicated experiment to test the effect of transporting the excised branch in an opaque plastic  
407 bag on  $A_{sat}$ . (A)  $A_n$  was continuously measured before and after excision of the branch. The solid  
408 black line represents the time of excision. (B)  $A_n$  was measured on the attached branch. The  
409 excised branch was transported in an opaque plastic bag, and  $A_n$  was again continuously  
410 measured after 10 min until  $A_n$  had stabilised and differed by less than five percent from the  
411 original values before excision. The dashed line represents  $A_n$  before excision.

412 FIGURE 3 (A) Actual data of a representative response of continuously measured leaf net  
413 photosynthetic rate ( $A_n$ ) at light saturation after excision and transport of the branch. Time 0  
414 corresponds to the placement of the leaf in the gas exchange chamber. (B) Average stabilisation  
415 time for the seven trees. The error bars are the standard errors of the mean. No data are available  
416 for tree 5, because we did not log  $A_n$  continuously. (C) Average stabilisation time for branches at  
417 different positions in the canopy (U, upper; M, middle; L, lower) for the seven trees. The error  
418 bars are the standard errors of the mean.